



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/677,729	10/02/2000	Joseph A. Henke	0750RF-051	3422
24923	7590	06/09/2004		
PAUL S MADAN			EXAMINER	
MADAN, MOSSMAN & SRIRAM, PC			SMITH, MATTHEW J	
2603 AUGUSTA, SUITE 700				
HOUSTON, TX 77057-1130			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3672	

DATE MAILED: 06/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/677,729	HENKE ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit	
Matthew J. Smith	3672	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 February 2004.
- 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 32-34, 36-43, 45-48 and 50-56 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) 40, 50 and 54 is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 32-34, 37, 41, 42, 45-47, 55 and 56 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) 36, 38, 39, 43, 48, and 51-53 is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) Other: _____

Drawings

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: slot 42.

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference sign(s) not mentioned in the description: 49, 82.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 41, 42, 45, and 56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Trott (4375834).

Trott discloses anchoring a tool (fig. 13, 14) comprising housing 514 (presumed since figs. 13-15 are embodiments of fig. 1), slip 642 in the housing, electric motor 626, wiring and control circuit (col. 9, lines 40-41) coupled to perforating gun 12 and a power supply (col. 9, line 44). This reference also discloses a method of actuating the anchor, then firing the perforator (col. 9, lines 63-67; col. 10, lines 1-6).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 32-34, 37, 46, 47, and 55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Trott in view of Farrant et al. (6315043).

Trott discloses anchoring a tool (fig. 13, 14) comprising housing 514 (presumed since figs. 13-15 are embodiments of fig. 1), slip 642 in the housing and biased to the retracted position (fig. 13), electric motor 626, wiring and control circuit (col. 9, lines 40-41) coupled to perforating gun 12 and a power supply (col. 9, line 44). This reference also discloses a method of actuating the anchor, then firing the perforator (col. 9, lines 63-67; col. 10, lines 1-6). This reference does not disclose changing polarity to actuate the motor and the perforator.

Farrant et al. teach actuating an anchor system 18 with a first polarity and actuating a perforating gun 14 with a second polarity (col. 5, lines 42-59) via control circuit or wire 140 and disconnecting power from the release assembly 131.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to actuate the Trott motor using different polarities, as taught by Farrant et al., in order to set the Trott hold down device prior to firing the Trott perforator, as suggested by Trott (col. 2, line18).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see page 7, filed 17 February 2004, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 32, 41, 46 under 35 U.S.C. 102 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Trott.

The third embodiment of Trott appears to anticipate the invention as claimed.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 40, 50, and 54 are allowed.

Claims 36, 38, 39, 43, 48, and 51-53 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Hallmark (3677080) shows an electrical system for various downhole tools.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew J. Smith whose telephone number is 703-305-5135. The examiner can normally be reached on T-F, 9-4.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David J. Bagnell can be reached on 703-308-2151. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).


David Bagnell
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Art Unit 3672

MJS *MJS*
19 May 2004